

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,853	06/24/2003	Yung-Nien Chang	4-31401A	9278
29585	7590 06/28/2006	EXAMINER		INER
	RUDNICK GRAY CA	LONG,	LONG, SCOTT	
153 TOWNSEND STREET SUITE 800 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94107-1907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/602,853	CHANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott D. Long	1633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ag 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 38-96 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 38-96 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 June 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 13 sheets.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Status

Claims 38-96 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

The election of species directed to (A) a viral enhancer as the tissue specific enhancer, (B) an alpha-fetoprotein promoter as the tissue specific promoter, (C) E1a as the transcription factor, and (D) thymidine kinase as the coding sequence that was received on 27 April 2006 is acknowledged. Upon search and consideration, and in light of the restriction requirement for parent application 08/974391, the restriction among different species is hereby withdrawn.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on 24 June 2003 is in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, examiner has considered the Information Disclosure Statement.

Priority

This application appears to be a division of U.S. Patent No. 6628762 B1, filed 19 November 1997. A later application for a distinct or independent invention, carved out of a pending application and disclosing and claiming only subject matter disclosed in an

Art Unit: 1633

earlier or parent application is known as a divisional application or "division." The divisional application should set forth the portion of the earlier disclosure that is germane to the invention as claimed in the divisional application.

The instant application has been granted the benefit date, 28 November 1994, from the application 08/348258 (abandoned).

Specification

The Specification claims priority to application 08/974391; this application has been issued as a U.S. patent (US 6628762 B1). This information should be updated in the Specification.

Claim Objections

Claim 38 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "E1 a" is a typo. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 44 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "so that replication said cell" is a grammar error. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 51 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "Inscriptional" is a typo. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 84 and 90 are objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "turn or-specific" is a typo. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 86 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "transcript ion al" is a typo. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1633

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 64 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 64 is directed to producing a virion, yet the method step recovers a "vector." The body of the method claim is inconsistent with the preamble. Therefore the phrase is vague and indefinite.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Art Unit: 1633

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 38-96 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 12-18 and 20 of U.S. Patent No. 5,998,205. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

Claims 12-18 and 20 of the cited patent are directed to an isolated cell containing a tissue-specific replication-conditional adenovirus virion and methods of producing said adenovirus virion. Claims 38-96 of instant application are directed to a virion comprising the adenoviral vector of the cited patent, cells comprising the virion, and method of producing said virion. The instantly claimed virion is fully disclosed in the specification of the cited patent. For example, claim 12 of the cited patent refers to an isolated cell containing an adenovirus virion and claim 18 refers to methods of producing said adenovirus virion. Furthermore, in the specification of the cited patent, virions are produced and recovered by inventors and are therefore possessed by inventors, "In further embodiments, a method is provided for producing a replication-conditional vector or virion comprising the steps of culturing the producer cell line described above and recovering the vector or virion from the cells" (column 5, lines 27-30).

Accordingly, instant claims and the claims of the cited patent are obvious variants. Therefore, the inventions as claimed are co-extensive.

Art Unit: 1633

No Claims Allowed.

Examiner Contact Information

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Scott Long** whose telephone number is **571-272-9048**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Dave Nguyen** can be reached on **571-272-0731**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Long Art Unit 1633